

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board

Chapter 695

Division 46

WATER ACQUISITION GRANTS

[695-046-0010](#)

Purpose

In accordance with Section 4b of Article XV of the Oregon Constitution and ORS 541.956, OWEB may consider grant applications that propose to acquire ~~from willing sellers~~ interests in water rights from willing sellers that result in Legally or Contractually Protected Instream Flow to maintain or restore streamflows for the benefit of watersheds and habitats for native fish or wildlife. This division supplements the OWEB Grant Program rules under OAR 695-005 and provides specific requirements for the OWEB water acquisition grant program. In the event of any conflict between these requirements and requirements identified in OAR 695-005, the water acquisition grant requirements in this division will take precedence. ~~OWEB does not itself hold an interest in water rights in grants authorized under these rules, but rather allocates funding for Water Acquisition Projects to other entities, which may result in the State of Oregon or other entities holding the interest in water rights.~~

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

OWEB 2-2005, f. & cert. ef. 2-1-05

[695-046-0020](#)

Definitions

(1) "Conserved Water Project" project means a project that conserves water pursuant to Oregon's Conserved Water Act, ORS 537.455 to 537.500 and OAR 690-018.

~~(2)~~ "Conserved Water Project" means a project that conserves water pursuant to Oregon's Conserved Water Act, ORS 537.455 to 537.500.

(a) The smaller of the amount stated on the water right or the maximum amount of water that can be diverted using the existing facilities; and

(b) The amount of water needed after implementation of conservation measures to meet the beneficial use under the water right certificate.

~~(2) "Conserved Water Project" means a project that conserves water pursuant to Oregon's Conserved Water Act, ORS 537.455 to 537.500.~~

(3) "Contractually Protected Instream Flow" means the amount of water secured through a Water Use Agreement.

(4) "Delegated to the Director" means the grant funds that the Board has authorized to the Director to award and enter into appropriate agreements.

(5) "Instream Lease" means the conversion of all or a portion of an existing water use subject to transfer to an instream water right for a specified time-period as authorized by Oregon Water Resources Department under ORS 537.348(2).

Commented [D01]: First flag about the question of creating space for groundwater protections via these OARs if there is a clear connection to fish and wildlife (e.g., groundwater dependent ecosystems, spring habitats, etc.). Carry this question throughout the rulemaking discussion (e.g., criteria). Will be an important topic for discussion in future RAC meetings.

Commented [D02]: Confirm with WRD that this makes sense to include. If so, move to the correct location alphabetically in the list and renumber.

Commented [TL3R2]: Flag for DOJ review.

Commented [BW4R2]: Definition from OWRD staff.

Commented [BW5]: Consider adding: If OWEB monies fund the Conserved Water Project, the priority date of the instream water right must be equal to the priority date of the underlying consumptive use right.

Commented [BW6R5]: If this goes in rules, is it more appropriate in the Criteria section?

Commented [BW7R5]: The 1 minute junior issue is captured in the evaluation criteria when reviewers are considering the reliability and seniority of the project's instream water. So no need to add it here.

Commented [BW8R5]: WA Guidance can state: Making the instream water the same date, or 1 minute senior, to the out of stream consumptive use will increase the value/reliability of the proposed project. Also, if 100% of the conserved water, or at least a greater % of water than what % of public funds are contributing to the project is placed instream, will also increase the proposal's favorability by the TRT.

Commented [D09R5]: Circle back on this in Jan., given different RAC ideas about placing in OAR vs. guidance.

(6) "Legally Protected Instream Flow" means the amount of water secured through Instream Leases, Split-Season Use Instream Leases, Time-limited Instream Transfers, Conserved Water Projects, Permanent Instream Transfers, or other mechanisms administered by Oregon Water Resources Department.

(7) "Permanent Instream Transfer" means the permanent conversion of all or a portion of an existing water use subject to transfer to an instream water right as authorized by ORS 537.348(1).

(8) "Split-Season Use Instream Lease" means an Instream Lease in which the water right can be exercised in the same season defined by the water right in the same calendar year for both the existing purpose of the water right and for an instream purpose, provided that the water is not used for the existing purpose during the period in which water is to be protected instream as authorized under ORS 537.348(3).

(9) "Split Rate/Split Duty Instream Lease" means Oregon Water Resources Department may approve a split rate/split duty instream lease application by an irrigation district that is wholly or partly within the Walla Walla basin to lease all or a portion of an existing water right for temporary conversion to an in-stream water right, by splitting the rate and duty of the water right between an existing use and in-stream use, if Oregon Water Resources Department confirms certain conditions exist and the department makes certain determinations, as authorized under OR Law Chapter 227 (2025 SB761).

(9) "Technical Review Teams" means a team of designated personnel with regional knowledge and interdisciplinary expertise drawn from agencies represented on the Board and other entities organized to evaluate water acquisition grant applications.

(10) "Time-limited Instream Transfer" means an instream transfer authorized by Oregon Water Resources Department under ORS 537.348(1) that is not permanent and under which the water right will revert back to its original use:

(a) Without further action by the Oregon Water Resources Department at the end of the period of time specified in the final order approving the instream transfer; or

(b) On a determination by Oregon Water Resources Department the Department that other conditions, specified in the final order approving the instream transfer, for termination have been met.

(11) "Water Acquisition Project" means a voluntary transaction that results in a Legally or Contractually Protected Instream Flow.

"Water interests" means a right (permit or license authorized by OWRD) to use water and sources of water acquired or available to supply beneficial uses such as irrigation, municipal, industrial, residential, fish and wildlife protection, recreation, hydropower, and pollution control; including water rights, and contracts for water provided by others.

(12) "Water right" refers to a state-issued legal authorization from OWRD to use water under a specific set of terms.

(12) "Water Use Agreement" means a contractual agreement between a water right holder and an eligible grant applicant to conserve water or curtail the beneficial use of water under a water right subject to transfer during specified periods or under specified conditions to achieve Contractually Protected Instream Flow, such as forbearance agreements, minimum flow agreements, and other private agreements between a water right holder and an eligible grant applicant.

(13) "Water use subject to transfer" means a water use established by:

(a) An adjudication under ORS chapter 539 as evidenced by a court decree;

(b) A water right certificate;

(c) A water use permit for which a request for issuance of a water right certificate under ORS 537.250 has been received and approved by the Water Resources Commission under ORS 537.250; or

Commented [TL10]: Likely need to expand/clean up definition. Check w/ WRD to see if they have rule language we can pull from. SB761 has language we could pull from. HB3806 (water banking) likely impacts this as well. Might be best to just create new definition to capture.

Commented [BW11R10]: The current split-season lease definition is correct and comes from WRD.

Commented [BW12R10]: The Deschutes basin surface water bank legislation as enrolled (HB 3806) includes the protection of in-stream water shall be by an OWRD authorized in-stream lease or a limited license for flow augmentation for winter release from Wickiup Reservoir into the Deschutes River. Discuss whether this requires a separate definition as the instream mechanism will be either a WRD approved Instream Lease, or a contractual Water Use Agreement.

Commented [BW13]: Consider changing "as authorized under" to "in accordance with"

Commented [BW14R13]: If this change is made, then change other definitions that use "as authorized under".

Commented [BW15R13]: The current definition was reviewed by WRD staff.

Commented [BW16]: Definition based on text from the Oregon Legislative Policy and Research Office, OSU Extension documents.

Commented [BW17R16]: Still waiting to hear back from WRD regarding this definition.

Commented [DO18]: Confirm with WRD that this makes sense to include. If so, move to the correct location alphabetically in the list and renumber.

Commented [TL19R18]: Flag for DOJ review.

Commented [TL20]: Will look at this and come up with a variation for January.

Commented [BW21R20]: This definition is acceptable for the basins in whole.(WRD staff input including in relation to Deschutes, Walla Walla, Klamath situations)

(d) A transfer application for which an order approving the change has been issued under ORS 540.530 and for which proper proof of completion of the change has been filed with the Water Resources Commission. [1991 c.957 §2; 1995 c.274 §1; 1997 c.42 §2]

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)
History:
[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)
OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13
OWEB 2-2005, f. & cert. ef. 2-1-05

695-046-0035

Eligibility

- (1) The Board will only consider Water Acquisition Projects involving legal water rights ~~not subject to forfeiture~~ that will result in Legally or Contractually Protected Instream Flows to ~~address~~:
- (a) ~~Address The~~ identified conservation needs of habitats and species as determined by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and in consideration of needs identified by other federal or ~~tribal~~ fish and wildlife agencies; or
- (b) Improv~~ing~~ing water quality in a water-quality-limited area, as defined in OAR 340-041-0002(70) and determined by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.
- (2) The Board will ~~also~~ only consider projects that include written notice to irrigation districts where the proposed Water Acquisition Project is within the boundary of an irrigation district.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906
Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)
History:
[OWEB 2-2020, adopt filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

695-046-0185

Use of Grant Funds

Water acquisition grant funds may be applied toward reasonable costs, as determined by OWEB, related to the implementation of Water Acquisition Projects, including:

- (1) The purchase price and the purchase option fees associated with acquisition of an interest in water.
- (2) ~~The cost of a Water Acquisition Project for water conveyance or water use efficiency, such as equipment, parts, and installation labor, that results in legally protected instream water rights using Oregon Water Resources Department's Allocation of Conserved Water program.~~
- (2) The monetary interest on bridge loans needed to secure the interest in water prior to when funding will be available for distribution through the program.
- (3) The staff costs incurred as part of acquiring the interest in water.
- (4) The cost of ~~outreach~~ engagement activities necessary for the funded Water Acquisition Project.
- (5) The cost of due diligence activities, including appraisal or valuation of the interest to be acquired, ~~water right review~~, title report, assessment of the timing and extent of water use and regulation associated with the interest in water, and other customary due diligence activities.
- (6) The closing fees related to the acquisition of an interest in water.

Commented [BW22]:

Commented [BW23R22]: Definition provided by OWRD staff. This is from 540.505(4). (OWRD definitions)

Commented [BW24]: Consider adding an Application Requirements section similar to Restoration Grants for irrigation efficiency/delivery modernization ACW projects re Land use form, permits statement, agency inspection commitment, monitoring plan, operations and maintenance plan. Division 5 and Division 50 may have this covered.

Commented [BW25R24]: Not necessary. Division 5 covers this.

Commented [BW26R24]: Should we cite 695-010-0050 Application Requirements.

Commented [DO27R24]: Given the conversation during Mtg #1 about 1) confirming past determination that infrastructure based projects (e.g., IE/IM projects and comments/questions from Caylin) are eligible and 2) more explicitly indicating that in rule, we need to figure out where and how in the rules to capture this information better.

Commented [RD28R24]: OWEB following up about two questions captured in 11/18 comment.

Commented [TL29]: Get clear on language.

Commented [BW30R29]: Consider leaving as is.

Commented [BW31]: Consider adding: The cost of irrigation efficiency upgrades for water delivery or for water application, such as equipment, parts, and labor, that result in protected instream water rights using OWRD's Allocation of Conserved Water program.

Commented [TL32R31]: Check alignment w/ constitution and statute. Work on clarity throughout whole section.

Commented [DO33R31]: This string connects up to my comment about making clear in rules that infrastructure projects are eligible. It may be that some combination of addressing this both in eligibility and here in use of grant funds is logical.

Commented [BW34]: Rephrase beginning of this to: The cost of irrigation efficiency upgrades for water delivery or for water application, such as ...

Commented [DO35R34]: Or explicitly adding design to (5) below

Commented [DO36]: Rename to "engagement"?

Commented [TL37R36]: Figure out how to best capture program development/project

Commented [BW38R36]: The cost of project development activities, including technical assistance and

Commented [DO39R36]: At future RAC meetings, we will have a deeper discussion about programmatic funding

(7) The cost of monitoring the Water Acquisition Project to certify that the water interest is being used and managed consistent with Section 4b, Article XV of the Oregon Constitution, consistent with intent of grant application, allowing for any conditions listed in the Grant Agreement.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

695-046-0190

Matching Contributions

(1) Consistent with OAR 695-005-0030, a All applicants shall demonstrate at least 25% that some portion of the Water Acquisition Project cost is being sought as match, with the grant applicant required to provide matching funds and efforts necessary to complete the purchase. Match requirements will be included in the announcement for the grant offering. The following costs and activities will qualify as match:

(a) All costs listed under OAR 695-046-0185, including in-kind contributions of those costs.

(b) Funding commitments made by others as a result of grant applicant efforts. ~~(including funding to be secured from other funders as part of a Board prescribed process conducted in cooperation with other funders).~~

(c) Any donated portion of the interest in water.

(2) OWEB funds shall not qualify as matching contributions.

(3) The Director retains the discretion to determine whether matching costs are reasonable and will be recognized as qualifying matching costs.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

695-046-0195

Coordinating and Partnering with Other Funders

~~In accordance with Section 4b of Article XV of the Oregon Constitution, OWEB may consider grant applications in partnership with other funders for the purposes of creating operational efficiencies and coordinating investments in projects designed to result in Legally or Contractually Protected Instream Flow and to do one or both of the following:~~

~~(1) Address the conservation needs of habitats and species as determined by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and in consideration of needs identified by other federal, or tribal fish and wildlife agencies; or~~

~~(2) Improve water quality in a water quality limited area as defined in OAR 340-041-0002(70) and determined by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.~~

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

Commented [TL40]: Can we remove reference to constitution?

Commented [TL41R40]: 10% holdback makes longer term monitoring hard to get reimbursed for due to high upfront costs associated with the initial purchase.

Commented [TL42R40]: New Div 5 rules allow for holdback to be smaller than 10%

Commented [DO43R40]: Confirm that "up to 10% holdback" is only an issue in program materials, rather than mentioned in Div. 46 rules.

Commented [BW44]: Can mitigation funds be accepted as fund source or as match funding?

Commented [DO45R44]: Addressed by OWEB's 2011 mitigation policy. Quick answer: in some cases, yes, but situationally dependent.

Commented [BW46R44]: No change needed.

Commented [DO47]: Discuss value of continuing to include this section.

Commented [DO48R47]: Propose deletion of this section with move of relevant funding coordination text to -200(3) below.

Commented [DO49]: Addressed in -0035 above.

695-046-0196

Evaluation Criteria

Water acquisition grant applications will be evaluated on the extent to which the application describes:

(1) The significance of the projected ecological outcomes, including how the proposed Water Acquisition Project:

(a) Achieves or helps to achieve any ~~professionally~~state, federally, or tribally-accepted~~supported~~ flow target ~~during the period of use, including dry year or drought conditions;~~

(b) Addresses limiting factors identified in professionally accepted conservation plans for habitat conservation needs or water quality;

(c) Provides benefits to impacted species and life stages;

(d) Monitors and tracks project impacts over time, as appropriate for the transaction type and duration;

(e) Monitors project compliance and project outcomes, as appropriate for the transaction type and duration; and

~~(f) Contributes to climate change adaptation.~~

~~Targets water rights of adequate seniority to ensure reliability of "wet water" instream in all water year conditions (wet, average, dry).~~

~~(2) How the water right's ownership, demonstration of use, validity, reliability, and seniority will result in instream flow improvements.~~

~~(23)~~ The alignment of proposed costs with the work necessary to accomplish the objectives described in the application, including:

~~(a) The ownership, use, validity, and reliability of the water right;~~

~~(ba)~~ How the proposed cost of the Legally or Contractually Protected Instream Flow is consistent with local or regional market conditions; and

~~(eb)~~ How the term of the proposed Water Acquisition Project is appropriate to meet the habitat, species, or water quality needs.

~~(c) If the project is utilizing the Allocation of Conserved Water program, the review team will consider the project cost relative to the amount of the water right, and percentage of the conserved water, that will be protected instream.~~

(3) How the proposed activities are part of a strategic effort or long-term vision for restoring instream flows in the watershed, including how the proposed Water Acquisition Project:

(a) Complements other habitat conservation actions and needs;

(b) Will lead to future expansion of instream flow restoration; and

~~(c) Addresses Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, or other federal or Tribal fish and wildlife agency priorities for aquatic habitat, and/or Oregon Department of federal, or Tribal Agriculture or Oregon Department of Forestry priorities for water quality, or Oregon Department of Environmental Quality water quality management or implementation plans, if established. (c) Addresses state, federal, or Tribal agency priorities for aquatic habitat, instream flow restoration, or water quality management.~~

~~(d) Ensures water in drought and/or dry water years, and/or,~~

Commented [DO50]: Discuss w/ RAC

Commented [TL51R50]: May be too vague. Consider deleting "during period of use"

Commented [BW52R50]: "Period of use" is problematic when considering the Deschutes Alternative Pathway. This could also be an issue with other potential source switch projects such as winter storage for summer instream flow improvement.

Commented [DO53]: Discuss with RAC. If including, add lettering to add to list.

Commented [BW54R53]: Embed this concept in new criteria stated below.

Commented [BW55]: Replaces the Targets water rights of adequate seniority statement, prior criteria of ownership, use, validity, and reliability.

Commented [DO56]: Consider this relative to broader discussion about groundwater-dependent ecosystems.

Commented [DO57]: Discuss w/ RAC

Commented [BW58R57]: Drought year and dry year are too vague, consider removing.

~~(ed) Promotes~~ Is implementing actions identified by collaborative basin planning efforts, or actions in the state Integrated Water Resources Strategy, or other state, federal, or tribal plan to protect and restore instream values.

(4) The capacity and qualifications of the applicant, including staff or consultants to be retained, to accomplish the proposed Water Acquisition Project activities described in the application and to sustain the projected ecological outcomes over the project term.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, adopt filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

695-046-0200

Application Evaluation Process

(1) For water acquisition applications seeking grant funds that require the Board to make a funding decision:

(a) A Technical Review Team will be convened to review water acquisition grant applications.

(b) Prior to the Technical Review Team meeting, the Technical Review Team shall evaluate each application based on the information provided and the evaluation criteria as described in OAR 695-046-0196.

(c) At the Technical Review Team meeting, the Technical Review Team shall:

(A) Review and evaluate each project individually based on how well the proposed project meets the criteria as described in OAR 695-046-0196.

(B) Recommend the project as:

(i) Do fund;

(ii) Do fund with conditions:

(iii) Do not fund; or

~~(iv) Defer to staff or the Board with an explanation, if there is a policy issue or budget issue that needs to be addressed by the Board prior to a funding decision; and~~

(C) Rank order all projects recommended for funding based on how well the project meets the criteria established in OAR 695-046-0196.

(d) The project description, summary evaluation, and funding recommendation for all projects, ~~and the rank order of projects recommended for funding~~ shall be forwarded from the Technical Review Team to Board staff for their consideration. The Technical Review Team may rank order all projects. This information will be provided to all applicants and to the Board.

(2) For water acquisition grant applications seeking grant funds that have been Delegated to the Director;

(a) A Technical Review Team will be convened to review grant applications.

(b) The Technical Review Team shall review and evaluate each project based on how well the proposed project meets the criteria in OAR 695-046-0196 and provide feedback and recommendations to OWEB staff.

(3) To the extent practicable, OWEB's application evaluation process will create operational efficiencies and complementary investments by coordinating with other agencies and funders that sponsor water acquisition grant programs, may consider grant applications in partnership with other funders for the purposes of creating operational efficiencies and coordinating investments

Commented [D059]: Discuss with RAC; specifically, 1) should we name a single strategy? and 2) inclusion of instream reference given groundwater discussion?

Commented [BW60]: Should this ranking requirement be removed?

Commented [EH61R60]: I would at least keep the option for ranking projects as there may be cases where there are more recommended projects than there is funding available during the cycle. If you want to be technical here, we could make this "(d) The Technical Review Team may rank order all projects..."

Commented [BW62]: See comment above regarding ranking projects.

Commented [D063]: Propose to move key content from coordinated funding section here, given that the review process is the primary nexus with other funding programs. This enables efficiency and complementarity without presenting challenges to OWEB's requirement to administer state funds.

~~OWEB may consider grant applications in partnership with other funders for the purposes of creating operational efficiencies and coordinating investments~~

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

695-046-0201

Staff Funding Recommendation Process

(1) For water acquisition grant applications seeking grant funds that require the Board to make a funding decision:

(a) Staff shall review the recommendations from each Technical Review Team and make a statewide funding recommendation to the Board based on available resources for the grant offering and type. ~~The recommendation shall include any conditions placed on individual projects and may include proposed budget adjustments.~~ The staff recommendation, as represented in the staff report to the Board, shall be made available to applicants and members of the Technical Review Teams at least two weeks before the Board meeting where funding decisions are to be made.

(b) Applicants may provide written or oral comment to the Board on the staff recommendation prior to the Board decision.

(2) For grant applications seeking grant funds that have been Delegated to the Director, staff shall review the recommendations from each Technical Review Team and make a funding recommendation to the Director based on available resources for the grant offering and type. ~~The recommendation shall include any conditions placed on individual projects and may include proposed budget adjustments.~~ The staff recommendation shall be made available to the applicants.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.890-541.969

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, adopt filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

695-046-0202

Funding Decision

(1) For water acquisition grant applications seeking grant funds that require the Board to make a funding decision:

(a) After considering recommendations from staff, and any community impacts from the proposed Water Acquisition Project, the Board may fund a project in whole or in part.

(b) Projects not funded may be resubmitted during future application submission periods.

(2) For water acquisition grant applications seeking grant funds that have been Delegated to the Director:

(a) After considering recommendations from staff, and any community impacts from the proposed Water Acquisition Project, the Director may fund a project in whole or in part.

(b) Projects not funded may be resubmitted during future application submission periods.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.890-541.969

History:

Commented [D064]: Revisit past rule revisions that removed definitions re: community benefits and impacts and discuss if edits/changes are needed.

Commented [RD65R64]: Let's discuss w/ the RAC, given community benefits and impacts questions that periodically come up during WAcq deliberations by the board.

Commented [D066]: Same comment as above

Commented [RD67R66]: See comment above

[OWEB 2-2020, adopt filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

[695-046-0205](#)

Public Involvement

The public shall be provided with opportunities to comment on grant applications for Water Acquisition Projects being considered by the Board. OWEB will provide written notice through its website of the Board's intent to consider water acquisition grant applications. The Board will accept:

(1) Comments made at public hearings, as described in ORS 271.735, held on grant applications seeking funding for Permanent Instream Transfers;

~~(2) Written comments received at least 14 days before the Board meeting at which the application is to be considered by the Board; and~~ (2) Public comments received by the public comment deadline as described in that Board meeting's agenda.

(3) Oral comments made at the Board meeting at which the grant application is considered.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

Commented [D068]: Discuss deletion given incorrect and irrelevant statutory reference/authority.

[695-046-0210](#)

Board Approval and Delegation of Authority

The Board shall conditionally approve grants with funding conditions made available to the public. The Director is delegated the responsibility of ensuring that funding conditions required by the Board are fully satisfied by the grant applicant.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

[695-046-0215](#)

Director's Funding Approval and Distribution of Funds

(1) The Director may approve the distribution of grant funds when:

~~(a) A grant agreement is executed by the Director and the grant applicant;~~

~~(a)~~ (b) The funding conditions, if any, imposed by the Board are met to the full satisfaction of the Director;

~~(b)~~ (c) The legal and financial terms of the proposed Water Acquisition Project transaction are approved by the Director;

~~(c) A grant agreement is executed by the Director and the grant applicant;~~

(d) The Director has reconciled conditionally approved funding with actual Water Acquisition Project costs; and

~~(d)~~ (e) The grant applicant has satisfied the match requirements under 695-046-0190.

Commented [D069]: Discuss if reordering of these items is warranted.

(2) For grants established under these rules, the Director is authorized to reimburse the grant applicant for allowable costs identified in OAR 695-046-0185 and to recognize matching contributions under OAR 695-046-0190 that were incurred no earlier than 18 months before the applicable grant application deadline.

(3) Notwithstanding OAR 659-046-0215(1)(a), funds may be distributed prior to Water Acquisition Project transaction closing for due diligence activities specified in OAR 659-046-0185(5) and included in the application budget.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

[695-046-0220](#)

Compliance and Enforcement

(1) The ongoing use of the water interests acquired with OWEB water acquisition grant funds shall be consistent with the purposes specified in section 4b Article XV of the Oregon Constitution. If significant compliance issues cannot be resolved to the full satisfaction of the Director, the Director, after informing the Board and providing reasonable written notice to the recipient of the grant, may in his or her discretion initiate any and all legal remedies available to OWEB, including recovery of the OWEB grant funds that were used to purchase the water interest, and reasonable interest and penalties at the option of the Director.

(2) OWEB, its grantees, contractors and cooperating agencies must be provided sufficient legal access to property to which the water interest acquired with OWEB funds is appurtenant, for the purpose of monitoring to certify that the water interest is being used and managed consistent with Section 4b, Article XV of the Oregon Constitution.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

[695-046-0225](#)

Subsequent Conveyances

A water interest acquired with OWEB grant funds shall not be conveyed to another party unless the conveyance is approved by the Board and may not be conveyed for the purpose of consumptive uses.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13

[695-046-0230](#)

Waiver and Periodic Review of Rules

The Director may waive the requirements of Division 46 for individual grant applications, not including mandatory constitutional or statutory requirements, when doing so is reasonably calculated to result in more efficient or effective implementation of the Board's water acquisition grant program. Any waiver must be in writing and included in the grant

file to which the waiver applies. The administrative rules for water acquisition grants shall be periodically reviewed by the Board and revised as necessary and appropriate.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 541.906

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 541.932(9)

History:

[OWEB 2-2020, amend filed 04/27/2020, effective 05/01/2020](#)

OWEB 2-2013, f. & cert. ef. 6-19-13